Missouri Attorney General's Opinions - 2017

Opinion	Date	Topic	Summary
1-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Emily Waggoner amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (18-061).
2-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Emily Waggoner amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (18-062).
3-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Bradshaw regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-041).
4-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-042).
<u>5-2017</u>	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-043).
6-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-044).
7-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-045).
8-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-046).
9-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2018-047).
10-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (2018-048).
11-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (2018-049).
12-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed amendment to Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-051).
13-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed amendment to Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-052).
14-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed amendment to Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-053).
15-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed amendment to Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-054).
16-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-107).
17-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-108).
18-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-109).
19-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-110).
20-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-111).

21-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-112).
22-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-113).
23-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-114).
24-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Emily Waggoner to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-061).
25-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Emily Waggoner regarding a proposed amendment to Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-062).
26-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-068).
27-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-069).
28-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-070).
29-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-071).
30-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-072).
31-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-073).

32-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-074).
33-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-075).
34-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-076).
35-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2018-077).
<u>36-2017</u>	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2018-078).
37-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2018-079).
38-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2018-080).
39-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2018-081).
40-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2018-082).
41-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri,

			version 11 (2018-083).
42-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2018-084).
43-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-068).
44-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-069).
45-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-070).
46-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (18-071).
47-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (18-072).
48-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (18-073).
49-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (18-074).
50-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (18-075).
51-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (18-076).
52-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von

		INITIATIVES.	Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (18-077).
53-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (18-078).
54-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (18-079).
55-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (18-080).
56-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Article 290 of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (18-081).
57-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (18-082).
58-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (18-083).
59-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (18-084).
60-2017	Jan 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-115).
61-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-090).
62-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed

		INITIATIVES.	amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-091).
63-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-092).
64-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-093).
65-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-094).
66-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-095).
67-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-096).
68-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2018-097).
69-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (2018-098).
70-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (2018-099).
71-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (2018-101).
72-2017	Jan 5	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 10 (2018-102).
73-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-090).
74-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-091).
75-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer to amend Chapter 191, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-116).
76-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-092).
77-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-093).
78-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-094).
79-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (18-095).
80-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (18-096).
81-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (18-097).
82-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (18-098).
83-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (18-099).
84-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis

		INITIATIVES.	amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (18-101).
85-2017	Jan 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 10 (18-102).
86-2017	Jan 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Chapters 81 and 105, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-103).
87-2017	Jan 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-117).
88-2017	Jan 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-118).
89-2017	Jan 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer to amend Chapter 191, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-119).
90-2017	Jan 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer to amend Chapter 191 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-120).
91-2017	Jan 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by John Boyd to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-0123).
92-2017	Jan 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (18-109).
93-2017	Jan 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version (18-110).
94-2017	Jan 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (18-114).
95-2017	Jan 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-115).
96-2017	Jan 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-124).

97-2017	Jan 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-125).
98-2017	Jan 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a revised summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 84 and 105, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-103).
99-2017	Jan 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-126).
100-2017	Feb 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-109).
101-2017	Feb 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-114).
102-2017	Feb 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer to amend Chapter 191, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-127).
103-2017	Feb 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-118).
104-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-128).
105-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-129).
106-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-130).
107-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-131).
108-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-132).
109-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Kristen Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-133).
110-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-134).
111-2017	Feb 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-135).
112-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-136).
113-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-137).
114-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-138).
115-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-139).
116-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-140).
117-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-141).
118-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-110).
119-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-115).
120-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-142).
121-2017	Feb 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-143).

122-2017	Feb 10	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution (18-124).
123-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III, Sections 2, 5 & 7 of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-144).
124-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 128, Sections 020, 346 & 348, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-148).
125-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-149).
126-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-150).
127-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III, Sections 2, 5 & 7, of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-151).
128-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III, Section 39 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-152).
129-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-153).
130-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-154).
131-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-155).
132-2017	Feb 14	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 128, Section 005, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-156).
133-2017	Feb 16	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-157).
134-2017	Feb 16	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 286 & 143,

		INITIATIVES.	Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-158).
135-2017	Feb 16	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-159).
136-2017	Feb 16	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version (2018-160).
137-2017	Feb 17	REFERENDUM.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of a referendum petition submitted by Mike Louis to submit Senate Substitute No. 2 for Senate Bill No. 19 to the voters (2018-R001).
138-2017	Feb 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer to amend Chapter 191, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-161).
139-2017	Feb 23	REFERENDUM.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of a referendum petition submitted by Mike Louis to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-R002).
140-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-128).
141-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-129).
142-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-130).
143-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (18-131).
144-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (18-132).
145-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-133).
146-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-134).

147-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-135).
148-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-137).
149-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-138).
150-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-139).
151-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (18-140).
152-2017	Feb 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (18-141).
153-2017	Feb 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-124).
154-2017	Feb 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-162).
155-2017	Feb 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-163).
156-2017	Mar 1	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 128, Section 005, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-164).
157-2017	Mar 1	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 128, Sections 346 & 348, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-165).
158-2017	Mar 1	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-166).
159-2017	Mar 1	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article I of the Missouri

		INITIATIVES.	Constitution, version 2 (2018-167).
160-2017	Mar 1	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-168).
161-2017	Mar 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (18-149).
162-2017	Mar 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-150).
163-2017	Mar 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, Sections 2, 5 & 7, version 2 (18-151).
164-2017	Mar 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, Section 39 (18-152).
165-2017	Mar 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-153).
166-2017	Mar 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-154).
167-2017	Mar 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-155).
168-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-157).
169-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (18-158).
170-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (18-159).
171-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-160).
172-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-128).
173-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-129).
174-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-130).
175-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-131).
176-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-132).
177-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-133).
178-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-134).
179-2017	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-135).

180-2017	Mar 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-137).
181-2017	Mar 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-138).
182-2017	Mar 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-139).
183-2017	Mar 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-140).
184-2017	Mar 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-141).
185-2017	Mar 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 320, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-169).
186-2017	Mar 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (18-162).
187-2017	Mar 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-163).
188-2017	Mar 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-149).
189-2017	Mar 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-150).
190-2017	Mar 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article III, Sections 2, 5, & 7 of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-151).

<u>191-2017</u>	Mar 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article III, Section 39 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-152).
192-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 321, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-170).
193-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-172).
<u>194-2017</u>	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-173).
195-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-174).
196-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-175).
197-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-176).
198-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-177).
199-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones to amend Article IV of the Missour Constitution (2018-179).
200-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-180).
201-2017	Mar 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-181).
202-2017	Mar 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-158).

203-2017	Mar 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-159).
204-2017	Mar 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-160).
205-2017	Mar 24	REFERENDUM.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for a referendum petition submitted by Mike Louis amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-R002).
206-2017	Mar 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapter 128, Section 005, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-164).
207-2017	Mar 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapter 128, Sections 346 and 348, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-165).
208-2017	Mar 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-166).
209-2017	Mar 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-167).
210-2017	Mar 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (18-168).
211-2017	Mar 27	REFERENDUM.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the referendum petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-R002).
212-2017	Mar 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-182).
213-2017	Mar 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-183).

	i	1	<u> </u>
214-2017	Mar 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-184).
215-2017	Mar 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-185).
216-2017	Mar 31	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-162).
217-2017	Apr 3	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri, Section 005 (2018-164).
218-2017	Apr 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-186).
219-2017	Apr 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-187).
220-2017	Apr 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-188).
221-2017	Apr 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-189).
222-2017	Apr 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-190).
223-2017	Apr 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 132, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-192).
224-2017	Apr 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-193).
225-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-194).
226-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-195).
227-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-172).
228-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-173).
229-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-174).
230-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-175).
231-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-176).
232-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (18-177).
233-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-179).
234-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-196).
235-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-197).
236-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-198).

237-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-199).
238-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2018-200).
239-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2018-201).
240-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2018-202).
241-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2018-203).
242-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2018-204).
243-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2018-205).
244-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2018-206).
245-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2018-207).
246-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (2018-208).
247-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (2018-209).
248-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (2018-210).
249-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290,

		INITIATIVES.	Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (2018-211).
250-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (2018-212).
251-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 18 (2018-213).
252-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 19 (2018-214).
253-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 20 (2018-215).
254-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 21 (2018-216).
255-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-217).
256-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-218).
257-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-219).
258-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-220).
259-2017	Apr 13	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-221).
260-2017	Apr 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-222).
261-2017	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-183).

262-2017	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-184).
263-2017	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-185).
264-2017	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-172).
<u>265-2017</u>	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-179).
266-2017	Apr 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-183).
267-2017	Apr 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-184).
268-2017	Apr 24	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-185).
269-2017	Apr 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-186).
270-2017	Apr 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-187).
271-2017	Apr 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-188).
272-2017	Apr 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (18-189).
273-2017	Apr 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien

		INITIATIVES.	Johnson amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (18-190).
274-2017	Apr 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-193).
275-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-194).
276-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (18-195).
277-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (18-196).
278-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (18-197).
279-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (18-198).
280-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (18-199).
281-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (18-200).
282-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (18-201).
283-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (18-202).

284-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (18-203).
<u>285-2017</u>	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (18-204).
<u>286-2017</u>	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (18-205).
287-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (18-206).
<u>288-2017</u>	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (18-207).
289-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (18-208).
<u>290-2017</u>	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (18-209).
<u>291-2017</u>	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (18-210).
292-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (18-211).
293-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17

			(18-212).
294-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 18 (18-213).
295-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 19 (18-214).
296-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 20 (18-215).
<u>297-2017</u>	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 21 (18-216).
298-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-218).
299-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-223).
300-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-219).
301-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-220).
302-2017	May 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-221).
303-2017	May 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-186).
304-2017	May 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed

		INITIATIVES.	amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-187).
305-2017	May 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-188).
306-2017	May 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-189).
307-2017	May 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-190).
308-2017	May 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-193).
309-2017	May 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution (18-222).
310-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-195).
311-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-224).
312-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-225).
313-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-226).
314-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-196).
315-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri,

			version 2 (2018-197).
316-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-198).
317-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-199).
318-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2018-200).
319-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2018-201).
320-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2018-202).
321-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2018-203).
322-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2018-204).
323-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2018-205).
324-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2018-206).
325-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a

		INITIATIVES.	proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2018-207).
326-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (2018-208).
327-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (2018-209).
328-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (2018-210).
329-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (2018-211).
330-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (2018-212).
331-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 18 (2018-213).
332-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 19 (2018-214).
333-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 20 (2018-215).
334-2017	May 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 21 (2018-216).
335-2017	May 15	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-218).
336-2017	May 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-219).
337-2017	May 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-220).
338-2017	May 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-221).
339-2017	May 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-222).
340-2017	May 25	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-223).
341-2017	June 1	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-223).
342-2017	June 1	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (18-224).
343-2017	June 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-227).
344-2017	June 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-229).
345-2017	June 2	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-231).
346-2017	June 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-224).

347-2017	June 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend the Missouri Constitution (2018-232).
348-2017	June 22	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend the Missouri Constitution (2018-233).
349-2017	June 22	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend the Missouri Constitution (2018-234).
350-2017	June 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-227).
351-2017	June 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-231).
352-2017	June 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Rush Loftis to amend Chapter 188, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-235).
353-2017	June 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-236).
354-2017	June 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-237).
355-2017	June 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-238).
356-2017	June 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution (2018-239).
357-2017	July 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean to amend Chapter 130, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-240).
358-2017	July 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean to amend Chapter 130, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-241).
359-2017	July 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Rush Loftis to amend Chapter 188, Revised

		INITIATIVES.	Statutes of Missouri (2018-242).
360-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapters 147, 161, and 191, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-243).
361-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-244).
362-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-245).
363-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-246).
364-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article IV, Section 30 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-247).
365-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article IV, Section 54 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-248).
366-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution (2018-249).
367-2017	July 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-250).
368-2017	Aug 4	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapters 156 and 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-251).
369-2017	Aug 4	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 156, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-252).
370-2017	Aug 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson to amend Chapters 192, 195, 263 & 311, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-253).
371-2017	Aug 10	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-254).

372-2017	Aug 10	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapters 147, 161, and 191, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-255).
373-2017	Aug 10	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-256).
374-2017	Aug 10	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-257).
375-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (18-246).
376-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (18-248).
377-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed amending Article V of the Missouri Constitution (18-249).
378-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapters 147, 161, and 191, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-258).
379-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 156, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-259).
380-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 156, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-260).
381-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapters 156 and 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-261).
382-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapters 156 and 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-262).
383-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charnita Walker to amend Chapter 558, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-263).
384-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 217, 221, 544,

		INITIATIVES.	557, 558, and 577, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-264).
385-2017	Aug 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Chapter VIII, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-265).
386-2017	Aug 25	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-246).
387-2017	Aug 25	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 54 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-248).
388-2017	Aug 25	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed amendment to Article V of the Missouri Constitution (2018-249).
389-2017	Aug 25	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson to amend Chapters 192, 195, 263 & 311, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-266).
390-2017	Aug 30	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapter 559, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-267).
391-2017	Aug 29	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapter 374, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-268).
392-2017	Sept 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-269).
393-2017	Sept 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Rush Loftis to amend Chapter 188, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-270).
394-2017	Sept 11	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson to amend Chapters 192, 195, 263 & 311, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-271).
395-2017	Sept 11	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 558 and 560, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-272).
396-2017	Sept 15	FAIR BALLOT LANGUAGE. SENATE BILL.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of the proposed fair ballot language prepared for Senate Substitute 2 for Senate Bill 19 amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri relating to right-

			to-work (2018-R002).
397-2017	Sept 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-274).
398-2017	Sept 15	FAIR BALLOT LANGUAGE. SENATE BILL.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-275).
399-2017	Sept 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 217 & 544, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-276).
400-2017	Sept 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-277).
401-2017	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (18-269).
402-2017	Sept 29	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapter 374, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-278).
403-2017	Sept 29	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-279).
404-2017	Sept 29	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-280).
405-2017	Sept 29	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-281).
406-2017	Sept 29	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-282).
407-2017	Sept 29	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson amending Chapters 192, 195, 263, and 311, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-271).
408-2017	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-283).

409-2017	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-284).
410-2017	Oct 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson amending Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-275)
411-2017	Oct 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-285).
412-2017	Oct 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 558 and 560, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-286).
413-2017	Oct 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 558 and 559, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-287).
414-2017	Oct 6	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 217, 544, and 557, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-288).
415-2017	Oct 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 192, 195, 263 & 311, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-271).
416-2017	Oct 12	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Aron Worthan-Lomax to amend Chapter V of the Missouri Constitution (2018-290).
417-2017	Oct 16	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-275).
418-2017	Oct 16	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapter 374, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-291).
419-2017	Oct 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (18-281).
420-2017	Oct 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapter 558, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-292).
421-2017	Oct 20	INITIATIVE	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 558 and 559, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-293).
422-2017	Oct 20	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 218, 544 & 557, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-294).
423-2017	Oct 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-295).
424-2017	Oct 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-296).
425-2017	Oct 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-297).
426-2017	Oct 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-298).
427-2017	Oct 23	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-299).
428-2017	Oct 27	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-281).
429-2017	Oct 31	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapter 116, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-300).
430-2017	Oct 31	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg to amend Chapters 558 and 560, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-301).
431-2017	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by DeAndre Morrow to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-302).
432-2017	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-303).
433-2017	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson amending Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (18-295).

434-2017	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson amending Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (18-296).
435-2017	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson amending Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (18-297).
436-2017	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson amending Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (18-298).
437-2017	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson amending Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (18-299).
438-2017	Nov 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-295).
439-2017	Nov 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-296).
440-2017	Nov 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-297).
441-2017	Nov 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-298).
442-2017	Nov 17	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-299).
443-2017	Nov 21	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-304).
444-2017	Dec 7	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I, Section 29 of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-305).
445-2017	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-306).
446-2017	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-307).
447-2017	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-308).
448-2017	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-309).
449-2017	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article I, Section 29 of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2018-310).
450-2017	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charnita Walker to amend Chapter 558, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-311).
451-2017	Dec 11	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (18-304)
452-2017	Dec 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 320, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-312).
453-2017	Dec 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-313).
454-2017	Dec 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-314).
455-2017	Dec 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article VI of the Missouri Constitution (2018-315).
456-2017	Dec 15	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-316).

457-2017	Dec 18	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-317).
458-2017	Dec 18	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-318).
459-2017	Dec 18	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 320, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-319).
460-2017	Dec 18	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 57, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-320).
461-2017	Dec 18	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 590, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-321).
462-2017	Dec 18	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-304).
463-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Terry Briggs to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-322).
464-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Terry Briggs to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-323).
465-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Terry Briggs to amend Chapter 142, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-324).
466-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Terry Briggs to amend Chapter 142, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-325).
467-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Terry Briggs to amend Chapter 142, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-326).
468-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas amending Article I, Section 29 of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (18-305).
469-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note

		PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas amending Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (18-306).
470-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas amending Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (18-307).
471-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas amending Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (18-308).
472-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas amending Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (18-309).
473-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas amending Article I, Section 29 of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (18-310).
474-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Terry Briggs to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-327).
475-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Terry Briggs to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-328).
476-2017	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITIONS. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 178, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-329).



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 1-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 21, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Emily Waggoner (18-061). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities expect unknown costs due to litigation. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 2-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 21, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Emily Waggoner (18-062). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government expects unknown incidental costs. Local governments expect increased costs of \$1,700 to \$40,000 per election depending on the number of absentee voters, type of election, and election authority planning decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 3-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Bradshaw regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-041). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 15 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana, and a tax on the wholesale sale of marijuana flowers and leaves per dry-weight ounce to licensed facilities; and
- use funds from these taxes to establish and fund a state research institute to conduct research with the purpose of developing cures

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

and treatments for cancer and other incurable diseases or medical conditions?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 4-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-042). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 5-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-043). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 6-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-044). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 7-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-045). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 8-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-046). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 9-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2018-047). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 10-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (2018-048). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 11-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sean Soendker Nicholson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (2018-049). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- change limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from paid lobbyists;

- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time;
- prohibit political fundraising by candidates for or members of the state legislature on State property; and
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 12-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-051). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing/ certification procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 4 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- use funds from these taxes for health and care services for military veterans by the Missouri Veterans Commission and to administer the program to license/certify and regulate marijuana and marijuana facilities?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 13-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-052). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing/ certification procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 4 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- use funds from these taxes for health and care services for military veterans by the Missouri Veterans Commission and to administer the program to license/certify and regulate marijuana and marijuana facilities?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 14-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-053). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing/ certification procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 4 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- use funds from these taxes for health and care services for military veterans by the Missouri Veterans Commission and to administer the program to license/certify and regulate marijuana and marijuana facilities?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

CHRIS KOSTER
ATTORNEY GENERAL 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 15-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-054). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing/ certification procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 4 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- use funds from these taxes for health and care services for military veterans by the Missouri Veterans Commission and to administer the program to license/certify and regulate marijuana and marijuana facilities?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 16-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-107).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 17-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-108).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 18-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-109).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Although we do not suggest it is a basis for rejection, we note that this initiative petition uses the name of Jay Ashcroft on the signature sheet. John (Jay) Ashcroft does not take office until January 9, 2017.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 19-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 1 (2018-110).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Although we do not suggest it is a basis for rejection, we note that this initiative petition uses the name of Jay Ashcroft on the signature sheet. John (Jay) Ashcroft does not take office until January 9, 2017.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 20-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson, version 2 (2018-111).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 21-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-112).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 22-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-113).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KÖSTER Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 23-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 21, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-114).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Although we do not suggest it is a basis for rejection, we note that this initiative petition uses the name of Jay Ashcroft on the signature sheet. John (Jay) Ashcroft does not take office until January 9, 2017.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 24-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Emily Waggoner regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-061). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- prohibit discrimination in employment based on race, color, age, disability, religion, gender, sexual orientation, national origin or ancestry; and
- exempt entities owned and operated by religious organizations?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 25-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Emily Waggoner regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-062). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to guarantee all eligible voters the right to vote absentee in person or through mail, with or without an excuse, for a minimum of six weeks prior to the official election date?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 26-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-068). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- not include confined big game hunting, breeding big game species, or raising big game species as farming/ranching under Missouri Constitution or law:
- prohibit confined big game hunting without a permit until November 7, 2021, at which time all big game species must be located where they may be confined legally under state and federal laws and regulations in effect at that time; and

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

• prohibit captive privately-owned big game species from being imported into Missouri except in certain circumstances?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 27-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-069). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- not include confined big game hunting, breeding big game species, or raising big game species as farming/ranching under Missouri Constitution or law; and
- prohibit confined big game hunting without a permit until November 7, 2021, at which time all big game species must be located where they may be confined legally under state and federal laws and regulations in effect at that time?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 28-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-070). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- guarantee the right to hunt except as expressly authorized in this Constitution:
- not include confined big game hunting, breeding big game species, or raising big game species as farming/ranching under Missouri Constitution or law:
- prohibit confined big game hunting without a permit until November 7, 2021, at which time all big game species must be located where they may be confined legally under state and federal laws and regulations in effect at that time; and

• prohibit captive privately-owned big game species from being imported into Missouri except in certain circumstances?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 29-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-071). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- guarantee the right to hunt except as expressly authorized in this Constitution:
- not include confined big game hunting, breeding big game species, or raising big game species as farming/ranching under Missouri Constitution or law; and
- prohibit confined big game hunting without a permit until November 7, 2021, at which time all big game species must be located where they may be confined legally under state and federal laws and regulations in effect at that time?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 30-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-072). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- not include confined big game hunting, breeding big game species, or raising big game species as farming/ranching under Missouri Constitution or law; and
- prohibit confined big game hunting without a permit until November 7, 2021, at which time all big game species must be located where they may be confined legally under state and federal laws and regulations in effect at that time?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 31-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-073). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2026, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2027;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER
Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102

P.O.Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 32-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-074). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2026, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2027;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of state minimum wage to 60% of the minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER
Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 33-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-075). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.05 per hour with \$.85 per hour increase each year until 2026, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2027;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER
Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 34-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-076). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.05 per hour with \$.85 per hour increase each year until 2026, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2027;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of state minimum wage to 60% of the minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER
Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 35-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2018-077). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$.75 per hour increase each year until 2027, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2028;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 36-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2018-078). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$.75 per hour increase each year until 2027, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2028;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of state minimum wage to 60% of the minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 37-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2018-079). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2024, when the minimum wage would be \$14.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2025;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 38-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2018-080). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2024, when the minimum wage would be \$14.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2025;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of state minimum wage to 60% of the minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 39-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2018-081). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2023, when the minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2024;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 40-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2018-082). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2023, when the minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2024;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of state minimum wage to 60% of the minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 41-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2018-083). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2022, when the minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2023;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 42-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2018-084). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2022, when the minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- adjust state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2023;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of state minimum wage to 60% of the minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 43-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of December 27, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 1 (18-068). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 44-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 27, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 2 (18-069). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 45-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 27, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 3 (18-070). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 46-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of December 27, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 4 (18-071). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 47-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 27, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 5 (18-072). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 48-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 1 (18-073). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$9 million decrease to a \$407 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 49-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 2 (18-074). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$9 million decrease to a \$401 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 50-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 3 (18-075). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$9 million decrease to a \$407 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 51-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 4 (18-076). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$9 million decrease to a \$401 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 52-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 5 (18-077). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$5.8 million decrease to a \$396 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 53-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 6 (18-078). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$5.8 million decrease to a \$388 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 54-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 7 (18-079). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$9.5 million decrease to a \$347 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 55-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 8 (18-080). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$9.5 million decrease to a \$343 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 56-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 9 (18-081). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$6.7 million decrease to a \$282 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

January 3, 2017

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

OPINION LETTER NO. 57-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 10 (18-082). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$6.7 million decrease to a \$279 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 58-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 11 (18-083). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$4.4 million decrease to a \$224 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 59-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 12 (18-084). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$4.4 million decrease to a \$222 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 60-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 23, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-115).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Although we do not suggest it is a basis for rejection, we note that this initiative petition uses the name of Jay Ashcroft on the signature sheet. John (Jay) Ashcroft does not take office until January 9, 2017.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 61-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-090). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- legalize marijuana for personal, medical, and commercial purposes for persons 21 years or older;
- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes for persons under 21 years old with a physician's written recommendation and parental or legal guardian supervision;
- release all persons who have nonviolent, marijuana-related offenses from incarceration and expunge the records of their offenses;

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

- impose a 5 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- prohibit state or federal funds, law enforcement, and state, county, and city employees from being used to enforce federal or state marijuana laws?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 62-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-091). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- legalize marijuana for personal, medical, and commercial purposes for persons 21 years or older;
- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes for persons under 21 years old with a physician's written recommendation and parental or legal guardian supervision;
- release all persons who have nonviolent, marijuana-related offenses from incarceration and expunge the records of their offenses;

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

- impose a 5 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- prohibit state or federal funds, law enforcement, and state, county, and city employees from being used to enforce federal or state marijuana laws?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER
Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 63-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-092). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit impairing, restricting or limiting the ability of employees to negotiate, enter into and enforce certain collectively bargained agreements with an employer?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 64-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-093). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit impairing, restricting or limiting the negotiation and enforcement of certain collectively bargained agreements with an employer?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 65-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-094). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- allow employers to have the right to organize and to bargain collectively through representatives chosen by them and their employees; and
- prohibit impairing, restricting or limiting the negotiation and enforcement of certain collectively bargained agreements with an employer?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 65102 (573) 751-3321

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 66-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-095). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- allow employers to have the right to organize and to bargain collectively through representatives chosen by them and their employees; and
- allow an employer and employees' chosen representatives to require that employees in a position represented by a representative of the employees' choosing to contribute a pro-rata share of representational costs?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 67-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-096). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

> Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit impairing, restricting or limiting the ability of employees to negotiate, enter into and enforce certain collectively bargained agreements with an employer?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours



Jefferson City 65102

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 68-2017

P.O. Box 899

(573) 751-3321

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2018-097). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit impairing, restricting or limiting the negotiation and enforcement of certain collectively bargained agreements with an employer?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 69-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (2018-098). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- allow employers to have the right to organize and to bargain collectively through representatives chosen by them and their employees; and
- prohibit impairing, restricting or limiting the negotiation and enforcement of certain collectively bargained agreements with an employer?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 70-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (2018-099). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- allow employers to have the right to organize and to bargain collectively through representatives chosen by them and their employees; and
- allow an employer and employees' chosen representatives to require that employees in a position represented by a representative of the employees' choosing to contribute a pro-rata share of representational costs?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

ATTORNEY GENERAL

CHRIS KOSTER

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 71-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (2018-101). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit restricting or impairing an agreement that requires employees to support their chosen collective bargaining representative?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 72-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 28, 2016, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 10 (2018-102). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit restricting or impairing an agreement that requires employees to support their chosen collective bargaining representative?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER
Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 73-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 30, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 1 (18-090). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to increase annual state tax revenues by \$17 million when fully implemented with additional estimated annual state savings of \$11 million. State implementation costs are estimated at \$150,000 with annual operating costs estimated at \$700,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 74-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 30, 2016, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 2 (18-091). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to increase annual state tax revenues by \$17 million when fully implemented with additional estimated annual state savings of \$11 million. State implementation costs are estimated at \$150,000 with annual operating costs estimated at \$700,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 75-2017

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 30, 2016, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer (2018-116).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 76-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 1 (18-092). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 77-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 2 (18-093). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 78-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 3 (18-094). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

(573) 751-3321

P.O. Box 899

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 79-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 4 (18-095). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 80-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 5 (18-096). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 81-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 6 (18-097). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 82-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 7 (18-098). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 83-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 8 (18-099). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 84-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 9 (18-101). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 85-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Louis, version 10 (18-102). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings. Any change in state and local revenue is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 86-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger (18-103). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate a savings up to \$500,000 annually. Local governmental entities estimate an unknown positive fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 87-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones (2018-117).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 88-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-118).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 89-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer (2018-119).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 90-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer (2018-120).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 91-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 10, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by John Boyd (2018-0123).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 92-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-109). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Local election authorities and the state will likely incur increased election-related costs estimated to be \$528,000 per election cycle with the total costs being unknown, but likely significant.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 93-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-110). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state cost savings of \$10 million. Annual state operating costs are estimated to increase by \$600,000 to \$1 million. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 94-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-114). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Local election authorities and the state will likely incur increased election-related costs estimated to be \$528,000 per election cycle with the total costs being unknown, but likely significant.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 95-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-115). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state cost savings of \$10 million. Annual state operating costs are estimated to increase by \$600,000 to \$1 million. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 96-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 11, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-124).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 97-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 11, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-125).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 98-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a revised proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 84 and 105, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-103). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to allow the transfer of a municipal police force from the board of police commission to a city of 400,000 or more inhabitants (Kansas City) which passes an ordinance accepting responsibility, ownership and liability for the police force, except that the State legal defense fund shall reimburse lawsuits or other actions which arise before transfer is complete; and prohibits any elected or appointed official from interfering with the performance or investigation of any officer, or retaliating against any officer for reporting illegal conduct?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY

65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 99-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 18, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones (2018-126).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 100-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-109). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to add a section to Article VIII that requires candidates for US Senate, US Congress, Governor, Lt. Governor, Treasurer, Attorney General, Secretary of State, State Senator and Representative be listed as non-partisan without any indication of party designation; discontinue primary elections, require that all candidates participate in a November general election without party designation, and require 50% plus one vote to win the general election or move to a top-tier runoff election; and candidates will not be charged over \$100.00 or be required to collect signatures?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 101-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-114). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to add a section to Article VIII that requires candidates for US Senate, US Congress, Governor, Lt. Governor, Treasurer, Attorney General, Secretary of State, State Senator and Representative be listed as non-partisan without any indication of party designation; discontinue primary elections, require that all candidates participate in a November general election without party designation, and require 50% plus one vote to win the general election or move to a top-tier runoff election; and candidates will not be charged over \$100.00 or be required to collect signatures?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 102-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer (2018-127).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not comply with § 116.050.2(1), RSMo, in that it does not "[c]ontain all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter show underlined."
- 3. The petition does not comply with § 116.050.2(2), RSMo, in that it does not "[i]nclude all sections of existing law . . . which would be repealed by the measure."

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 103-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 23, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-118). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal is estimated to result in annual state cost savings of \$6.9 million. State government entities estimate increased annual operating costs of \$662,000 with one-time costs not exceeding \$100,000. State government entities expect an unknown change in revenue. Local governments expect no change in revenue. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 104-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 1 (2018-128).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 105-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 2 (2018-129).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA B. HAWLEY Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 106-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 3 (2018-130).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 107-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 4 (2018-131).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 108-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 5 (2018-132).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 109-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Kristen Gilio, version 1 (2018-133).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 110-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Kristen Gilio, version 2 (2018-134).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

IOSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

2

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 111-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Kristen Gilio, version 3 (2018-135).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

IOSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 112-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison (2018-136).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY

Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 113-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 1 (2018-137).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 114-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 2 (2018-138).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 115-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 3 (2018-139).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 116-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 4 (2018-140).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 117-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 5 (2018-141).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 118-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-110). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis (marijuana) by anyone over 18; for all ages upon a licensed physician's recommendation but still require parental consent for those under 21; remove any state organ transplant restrictions from cannabis use; remove cannabis from Missouri's list of Controlled Substances; release everyone incarcerated only for non-violent cannabis-only offenses, and destroy all state civil and criminal records only for non-violent cannabis-only offenses; and prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 119-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-115). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis (marijuana) by anyone over 18; for all ages upon a licensed physician's recommendation but still require parental consent for those under 21, but prohibit prosecution for consenting to child consumption; remove any state organ transplant restrictions from cannabis use; remove cannabis from Missouri's list of Controlled Substances; release everyone incarcerated only for non-violent cannabisonly offenses, and destroy all state civil and criminal records only for non-violent cannabis-only offenses; and prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 120-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-142).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General

OP-2017-0049



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 121-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-143).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



Attorney General of Missouri

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 10, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 122-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of January 31, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-124). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal could have a significant negative fiscal impact on the state and local governmental entities. The amount of the impact is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 123-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III, Sections 2, 5 & 7 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple, version 1 (2018-144).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 124-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2018-148).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 125-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2018-149).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 126-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2018-150).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI Attorney General

OP-2017-0059



Attorney General of Missouri

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 127-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III, Sections 2, 5 & 7 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (2018-151).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 128-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III, Section 39 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2018-152).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 129-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple, version 1 (2018-153).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 130-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (2018-154).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 131-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple, version 3 (2018-155).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 14, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 132-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2018-156).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 16, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 133-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Charles Jones (2018-157).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 16, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 134-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple, version 1 (2018-158).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 16, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 135-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (2018-159).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 16, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 136-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2018-160).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 137-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of a referendum petition submitted by Mike Louis (2018-R001).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLA Attorney General

OP-2017-0071



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 138-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 10, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Natalie Meyer (2018-161).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 139-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 22, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of a referendum petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Mike Louis (2018-R002).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 140-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 1 (18-128). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$11 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could also increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 141-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 2 (18-129). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to increase annual state tax revenues by \$17 million when fully implemented with additional estimated annual state savings of \$11 million. State implementation costs are estimated at \$150,000 with annual operating costs estimated at \$700,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 142-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 3 (18-130). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to increase annual state tax revenues by \$17 million when fully implemented with additional estimated annual state savings of \$11 million. State implementation costs are estimated at \$150,000 with annual operating costs estimated at \$700,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 143-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 4 (18-131). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$11 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could also increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 144-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 5 (18-132). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to increase annual state tax revenues by \$17 million when fully implemented with additional estimated annual state savings of \$11 million. State implementation costs are estimated at \$150,000 with annual operating costs estimated at \$700,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEX



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 145-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio, version 1 (18-133). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to increase annual state tax revenues by \$17 million when fully implemented with additional estimated annual state savings of \$11 million. State implementation costs are estimated at \$150,000 with annual operating costs estimated at \$700,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 146-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio, version 2 (18-134). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$11 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could also increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 147-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Kristen Gilio, version 3 (18-135). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$11 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could also increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 148-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 1 (18-137). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 149-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 2 (18-138). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 150-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 3 (18-139). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 151-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 4 (18-140). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 152-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 5 (18-141). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 153-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-124). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit any new or existing local sales, use, or transaction-based tax that has not been approved by voters; require voter approval before funding sports and entertainment structures and recreation centers; and require voter approval before expanding, extending, or modifying current or future bonds and tax credits?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 154-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2018-162).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 155-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Doug Burlison (2018-163).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 1, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 156-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 128, Section 005, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2018-164).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 1, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 157-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 128, Sections 346 & 348, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2018-165).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 1, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 158-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple, version 1 (2018-166).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 1, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 159-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (2018-167).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 1, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 160-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2018-168).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 161-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-149). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal could result in necessary voting machine replacements statewide at an estimated unknown cost up to \$43.5 million. Some local election authorities estimate ballot and programming costs.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 162-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-150). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The program is mandated to be revenue neutral. No costs or savings are expected for state and local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 163-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (18-151). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Legislative operating costs are estimated to decrease for state government by approximately \$5.4 million. This proposal could also result in necessary voting machine replacements statewide at an estimated unknown cost up to \$43.5 million.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 164-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-152). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is expected to have no costs or savings to state or local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 165-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 1 (18-153). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential impact to state governmental entities is unknown, but could impact the state's ability to contract with corporations. Local governmental entities expect no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 166-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (18-154). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential impact to state governmental entities is unknown, but could impact the state's ability to contract with corporations. Local governmental entities expect no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 167-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 3 (18-155). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential impact to state governmental entities is unknown, but could impact the state's ability to contract with corporations. Local governmental entities expect no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 168-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 27, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones (18-157). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$11 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 169-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 27, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 1 (18-158). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate unknown annual revenue increases to fund the proposed program, one-time costs of approximately \$45,000, and annual operating costs of approximately \$665,000. Local governmental entities indicate no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 170-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 27, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (18-159). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate unknown annual revenue increases to fund the proposed program, one-time costs of approximately \$45,000, and annual operating costs of approximately \$665,000. Local governmental entities indicate no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 171-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of February 27, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-160). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government entities expect implementation costs of \$290,000 and annual costs of \$110,000. Also, an unknown amount of revenue will not be available for state General Revenue Fund spending. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 172-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-128). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; and remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation with or without consent from a parent or legal guardian?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 173-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-129). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; constitutionally protect driving while using marijuana; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation and a parent or legal guardian's consent; and add a 5% sales tax on cannabis to fund education and veteran care?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 174-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-130). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; constitutionally protect driving while using marijuana; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with either a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation or parental or legal guardian consent; and add a 5% sales tax to fund education and veteran care?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 175-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-131). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; constitutionally protect driving while using marijuana; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; and remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with either a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation or parental or legal guardian consent?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 176-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-132). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with either a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation or parental or legal guardian consent; and add a 5% sales tax to fund education and veteran care?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 177-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Kristen Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-133). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation and consent from a parent or legal guardian; and add a 5% sales tax to fund education and veteran care?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 178-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Kristen Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-134). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; constitutionally protect driving while using marijuana; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; and remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation and parental or legal guardian consent?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 179-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Kristen Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-135). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to release all criminals, for any crime they have been imprisoned for, that have also been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; destroy all state civil and criminal records of non-violent cannabis related crimes; prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal cannabis offenses; and remove all State prohibitions against personal use of cannabis by anyone over 21, or anyone with a physician's or veterinarian's recommendation and parental or legal guardian consent?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 180-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-137). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to redefine the current definition of hunting and restrict hunting as expressly referenced in this provision of the Constitution, which prohibits the killing of confined big game (such as deer including elk and moose, wild pigs including peccary, bighorn sheep, and other hooved animals); prohibits the importing of big-game species into Missouri except for public zoos, wildlife organizations, or for scientific research; and gives the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately owned big-game species?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 181-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-138). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to redefine the current definition of hunting and restrict hunting as expressly referenced in this provision of the Constitution, which prohibits the killing of confined big game (such as deer including elk and moose, wild pigs including peccary, bighorn sheep, and other hooved animals); and gives the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately owned big-game species?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 182-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-139). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to restrict hunting to prohibit the killing of confined big game (such as deer including elk and moose, wild pigs including peccary, bighorn sheep, and other hooved animals) and giving the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately owned big-game species?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 183-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-140). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to restrict hunting to prohibit the killing of confined big game (such as deer including elk and moose, wild pigs including peccary, bighorn sheep, and other hooved animals); prohibit the importing of biggame species into Missouri except for public zoos, wildlife organizations, or for scientific research; and giving the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately owned big-game species?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 184-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2018-141). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to restrict hunting to prohibit the killing of confined big game (such as deer including elk and moose, wild pigs including peccary, bighorn sheep, and other hooved animals)?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 185-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-169).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 186-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 7, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-162). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal is estimated to result in no costs or savings to state and local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 187-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 7, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison (18-163). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$10.7 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 188-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2018-149). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to change voting in general elections for the offices of United States Senator, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, Attorney General, State Treasurer, and State Auditor to allow voters to rank candidates in order of preference for each office; eliminate the lowest ranked candidates and redistribute their votes based on number of votes and voters' rankings until a candidate receives at least 50% plus one vote to win; and resolve ties with a random mathematical process?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 189-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-150). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to create a staterun health insurance cooperative provided through the Department of Health and Senior Services, allowing Missouri citizens the choice to participate in the state-run health cooperative that only provides coverage for treatment and procedures deemed medically necessary by the Department of Health and Senior Services; and with premiums and out-ofpocket maximums to be adjusted annually based on a participant's income?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 190-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III, Sections 2, 5, & 7 of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-151). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to change how senate and house districts are reapportioned and reduce the number of state representatives from 163 to 5 elected from 2 districts within each of the 8 congressional districts; senators from 34 to 5 from each of the 8 congressional districts; require house and senate candidates to be selected by rank-choice voting, allowing voters to rank candidates in order of preference; tabulate votes and determine election winners through elimination rounds; and to fill open senate and house seats with the highest ranking unelected candidate in the election?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 191-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III, Section 39 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-152). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to give any county, city, town, or village the right to require employers to pay a minimum wage or employment benefit that exceeds federal or state law, rules, or regulations, regardless of any action of the Missouri general assembly?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

IOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 192-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-170).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 193-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 1 (2018-172).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 194-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 2 (2018-173).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 195-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 1 (2018-174).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 196-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 2 (2018-175).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 197-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 3 (2018-176).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 198-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 4 (2018-177).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 199-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Charles Jones (2018-179).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 200-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-180).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 201-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-181).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 202-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-158). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to create a long-term capital gains and qualified dividends tax at a rate that could be 15.8% or higher based on federal law, determined by each taxpayer's federal tax bracket, but exempts taxpayers with family income less than 110% of poverty threshold, which may be used to fund a renewable energy program for improving energy efficiency in buildings, constructing and installing renewable energy sources, updating infrastructure, and planting trees on public lands; and all funds generated by the program will be used as decided by the legislature?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 203-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-159). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to create a capital gains and qualified dividends tax at a rate that could be 15.8% or higher based on federal law, determined by each taxpayer's federal tax bracket, but exempts taxpayers with family income less than 110% of poverty threshold, which may be used to fund a renewable energy program for improving energy efficiency; require compensation for all jobs created by the program pay a living wage of at least 10% above poverty guidelines; and all funds generated by the program will be used as decided by the legislature?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 204-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-160). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to create the Missouri Elections Trust Fund, to be administered by a commission of 7 people appointed by the Governor; the fund will provide public financing, limited by Article VIII, section 23 of the Constitution, for candidates running for elected office who agree: not to accept contributions from political action committees that accept corporate contributions, contributions over \$1000 from any source excluding their own political party, and that at least half of all money raised by the candidate will come from individuals contributing \$100 or less?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 205-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 14, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for a referendum petition submitted by Mike Louis (18-R002). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 206-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 15, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-164). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

It is estimated this proposal will result in no costs or savings for state and local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 207-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 15, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-165). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The state and local governments could incur unknown additional election-related costs that could be significant.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 208-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 15, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 1 (18-166). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential impact to state governmental entities is unknown, but could impact the state's ability to contract with corporations. Local governmental entities expect no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 209-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 15, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple, version 2 (18-167). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential impact to state governmental entities is unknown, but could impact the state's ability to contract with corporations. Local governmental entities expect no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 210-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of March 15, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-168). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government legislative operating costs are estimated to decrease by approximately \$6.9 million annually. The state and local governments could incur unknown additional election-related costs that could be significant.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEX



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 211-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Louis regarding a proposed referendum to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri. The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do the people of the state of Missouri want to adopt Senate Bill 19 ("Right-to-Work") as passed by the general assembly in 2017, which prohibits as a condition of employment the forced membership in a labor organization (union) or forced payments of dues in full or pro-rata (fair-share); make any activity which violates employees' rights illegal and ineffective; allow legal remedies for anyone injured as a result of another person violating or threatening to violate employees' rights; and which shall not apply to union agreements entered into before the effective date of Senate Bill 19?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the referendum, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 212-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 20, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison (2018-182).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 213-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 21, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 1 (2018-183).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 214-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 21, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 2 (2018-184).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 215-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 21, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 3 (2018-185).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 31, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 216-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 21, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-162). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do the People of Missouri want to change the Constitution to prohibit any alterations, amendments, or repeals to voter approved initiative ballot measures by the Missouri General Assembly unless those alterations, amendments, or repeals are also approved by the voters?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI Attorney General

www.ago.mo.gov



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 3, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 217-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri, Section 005 (2018-164). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do the People of Missouri want to change the law to create a compact with other states that requires the member states to commit their electoral votes for President of the United States to the candidate who receives the most overall votes (national popular vote); this compact would become effective when the states in the compact have more than 269 electoral votes?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 218-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 29, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 1 (2018-186).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 219-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 29, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 2 (2018-187).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 220-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 29, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 3 (2018-188).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 221-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 29, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 4 (2018-189).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 222-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 30, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-190).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 223-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 31, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-192).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 224-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 31, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2018-193).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 225-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-194).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 226-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2018-195).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 227-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson, version 1 (18-172). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state cost savings of \$6.3 million. State government entities estimate increased annual operating costs of \$662,000 with the potential for additional increase. State government entities expect an unknown change in revenue. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 228-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson, version 2 (18-173). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state cost savings of \$6.9 million. State government entities estimate increased annual operating costs of \$662,000 with the potential for additional increase. State government entities expect an unknown change in revenue. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 229-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson, version 1 (18-174). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal could have a significant negative fiscal impact on the state and local governmental entities. The amount of the impact is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 230-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson, version 2 (18-175). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal could have a significant negative fiscal impact on the state and local governmental entities. The amount of the impact is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 231-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson, version 3 (18-176). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal could have a significant negative fiscal impact on the state and local governmental entities. The amount of the impact is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 232-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 3, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson, version 4 (18-177). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal could have a significant negative fiscal impact on the state and local governmental entities. The amount of the impact is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 233-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 4, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones (18-179). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$11 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 234-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 1 (2018-196).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 235-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 2 (2018-197).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 236-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 3 (2018-198).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 237-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 4 (2018-199).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 238-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 5 (2018-200).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 239-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 6 (2018-201).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 240-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 7 (2018-202).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 241-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 8 (2018-203).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 242-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 9 (2018-204).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 243-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 10 (2018-205).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 244-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 11 (2018-206).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 245-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 12 (2018-207).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



Attorney General of Missouri

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY
65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 246-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 13 (2018-208).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 247-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 14 (2018-209).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 248-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 15 (2018-210).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 249-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 16 (2018-211).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 250-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 17 (2018-212).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 251-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 18 (2018-213).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 252-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 19 (2018-214).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 253-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 20 (2018-215).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 254-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 21 (2018-216).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 255-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-217).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 256-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 4, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Doug Burlison (2018-218).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 257-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Wayne Baker, version 1 (2018-219).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 258-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Wayne Baker, version 2 (2018-220).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 13, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 259-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Wayne Baker, version 3 (2018-221).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 260-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-222).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 261-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 1 (18-183). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State revenues could increase by \$17 million annually and the state could avoid costs of \$11 million annually when the proposal is fully implemented, but an annual unknown loss of federal funding could occur. Additional state costs are estimated at \$750,000 annually with one-time costs of \$150,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 262-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 2 (18-184). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State revenues could increase by \$17 million annually and the state could avoid costs of \$11 million annually when the proposal is fully implemented, but an annual unknown loss of federal funding could occur. Additional state costs are estimated at \$750,000 annually with one-time costs of \$150,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 263-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Timothy Gilio, version 3 (18-185). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State revenues could increase by \$17 million annually and the state could avoid costs of \$11 million annually when the proposal is fully implemented, but an annual unknown loss of federal funding could occur. Additional state costs are estimated at \$750,000 annually with one-time costs of \$150,000. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 264-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 10, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-172). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to release all individuals from incarceration, parole and probation if convicted only of non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crimes; remove state prohibitions on the possession, production and sale of marijuana for personal use for minors and adults, but prohibit the sale of medical marijuana for personal use; and provide for regulation and licensure of medical marijuana dispensaries, including requiring verification of a patient registry number provided by the government, before providing medical marijuana to patients?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 265-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 10, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Charles Jones regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-179). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to release all individuals from incarceration, parole and probation regardless of additional crimes convicted of, if they have been convicted of a non-violent cannabis (marijuana) related crime; remove state prohibitions on personal and medical marijuana growth and use by anyone 18 years and older, remove state prohibitions on possession of marijuana by anyone under 18, and remove state prohibitions on use of marijuana by anyone under 18 if the minor has parental consent or a doctor's prescription; and prohibit state funds from being used to assist federal marijuana law enforcement?

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 266-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-183). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to release all incarcerated individuals convicted only of nonviolent cannabis (marijuana) related crimes; add up to a 5% sales tax on marijuana for personal use to fund public education and veteran care; and remove state prohibitions on:

- marijuana possession for personal use regardless of age;
- growing marijuana for one's own personal or physician recommended (medical) use regardless of age (no plant limitations may be imposed by any other legislation);

- selling marijuana to anyone 21 or older; and
- selling marijuana to anyone 18 or older for medical use?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 267-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-184). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to release all incarcerated individuals convicted only of nonviolent cannabis (marijuana) related crimes; add up to a 5% sales tax on marijuana for personal use to fund public education and veteran care; and remove state prohibitions on:

- marijuana possession for personal use regardless of age;
- growing marijuana for one's own personal or physician recommended (medical) use regardless of age (no plant quantity limitations may be imposed without voter approval);

- selling marijuana to anyone 21 or older; and
- selling marijuana to anyone 18 or older for medical use?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 24, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 268-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Timothy Gilio regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-185). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to release all incarcerated individuals convicted only of nonviolent cannabis (marijuana) related crimes; add up to a 5% sales tax on marijuana for personal use to fund public education and veteran care; and remove state prohibitions on:

- marijuana possession for personal use regardless of age;
- growing marijuana for one's own personal or physician recommended (medical) use regardless of age (no plant quantity limitations may be imposed);

- selling marijuana to anyone 21 or older; and
- selling marijuana to anyone 18 or older for medical use?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 269-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 1 (18-186). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 270-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 2 (18-187). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 271-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 3 (18-188). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 272-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Marc Ellinger, version 4 (18-189). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental impact is unknown. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 273-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 19, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-190). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 274-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 20, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-193). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The state and local governments could incur unknown additional election-related costs that could be significant.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 275-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-194). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 276-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (18-195). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government legislative operating costs are estimated to decrease by approximately \$6.9 million annually. The state and local governments could incur unknown additional election-related costs that could be significant.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 277-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 1 (18-196). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$4.4 million decrease to a \$224 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 278-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 2 (18-197). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$4.4 million decrease to a \$222 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 279-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 3 (18-198). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$13.1 million decrease to a \$282 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX

Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 280-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 4 (18-199). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$13.1 million decrease to a \$279 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 281-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 5 (18-200). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$4.4 million decrease to a \$221 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 282-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 6 (18-201). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$13.1 million decrease to a \$278 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 283-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 7 (18-202). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$1.7 million decrease to a \$211 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX

Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 284-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 8 (18-203). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$7.5 million decrease to a \$262 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 285-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 9 (18-204). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$2.9 million decrease to a \$214 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 286-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 10 (18-205). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$2.9 million decrease to a \$214 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 287-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 11 (18-206). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$2.9 million decrease to a \$214 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX



Jefferson City 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 288-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 12 (18-207). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$1.7 million decrease to a \$207 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 289-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 13 (18-208). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$1.7 million decrease to a \$207 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 290-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 14 (18-209). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$1.7 million decrease to a \$207 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 291-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 15 (18-210). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$7.5 million decrease to a \$261 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 292-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 16 (18-211). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$7.5 million decrease to a \$261 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 293-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 17 (18-212). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could change by an unknown annual amount ranging from a \$7.5 million decrease to a \$261 million increase depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 294-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 18 (18-213). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an unknown annual amount ranging from \$600,000 to \$164 million depending on business decisions

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 295-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 19 (18-214). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an unknown annual amount ranging from \$600,000 to \$164 million depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 296-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 20 (18-215). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an unknown annual amount ranging from \$600,000 to \$164 million depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE

Attorney General



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 297-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn, version 21 (18-216). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governments estimate no direct costs or savings from the proposal, but operating costs could increase by an unknown annual amount that could be significant. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an unknown annual amount ranging from \$20,000 to \$170 million depending on business decisions.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 298-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 24, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Doug Burlison (18-218). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$10.7 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 299-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Charles Jones (2018-223).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 300-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 25, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker, version 1 (18-219). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The state currently operates no toll facilities and thus passage of this proposal results in no immediate fiscal impact; however, state governmental entities expect a future unknown negative fiscal impact because the proposal eliminates a potential source of revenue. Local governmental entities expect no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 301-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 25, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker, version 2 (18-220). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The state currently operates no toll facilities and thus passage of this proposal results in no immediate fiscal impact; however, state governmental entities expect a future unknown negative fiscal impact because the proposal eliminates a potential source of revenue. Local governmental entities expect no fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 302-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 25, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Wayne Baker, version 3 (18-221). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The state currently operates no toll facilities and thus passage of this proposal results in no immediate fiscal impact; however, state governmental entities expect a future unknown negative fiscal impact because the proposal eliminates potential sources of revenue. Local governmental entities expect a significant unknown negative fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 303-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-186). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- redefine hunting to exclude privately-owned big game (not fully domesticated hooved animals such as white-tailed deer, elk, moose, boar, and bighorn sheep) confined on preserves;
- exclude privately-owned big game from the right to hunt;
- prohibit transporting big game into or out of Missouri, except licensed confined big game preserves may export for one year, and public zoos, wildlife organizations or scientific

research facilities may import and export indefinitely;

- make big game disease information available to the public; and
- give the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately-owned big game?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

IOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 304-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-187). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- redefine hunting to exclude privately-owned big game (not fully domesticated hooved animals such as white-tailed deer, elk, moose, boar, and bighorn sheep) confined on preserves;
- in three years, prohibit the killing of big game confined on preserves;
- make big game disease information available to the public; and

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

• give the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately-owned big game?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 305-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-188). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- redefine hunting to exclude privately-owned big game (not fully domesticated hooved animals such as white-tailed deer, elk, moose, boar, and bighorn sheep) confined on preserves;
- prohibit transporting big game into or out of Missouri, except licensed confined big game preserves may export for one year, and public zoos, wildlife organizations or scientific research facilities may import and export indefinitely;

- make big game disease information available to the public; and
- give the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately-owned big game?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 306-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Marc Ellinger regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2018-189). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- redefine hunting to exclude privately-owned big game (not fully domesticated hooved animals such as white-tailed deer, elk, moose, boar, and bighorn sheep) confined on preserves;
- prohibit transporting big game into or out of Missouri, except licensed confined big game preserves may export for one year, and public zoos, wildlife organizations or scientific research facilities may import and export indefinitely; and

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

• give the Missouri Conservation Commission primary regulatory authority over privately owned big game?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 307-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-190). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution, which currently guarantees the right to farm and ranch, to specifically include growing cannabis (marijuana) and hemp?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 308-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-193). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law regarding the election of members of the U.S. House of Representatives as follows:

- elect candidates by a statewide vote instead of by voters in a congressional district;
- each political party, through its primary process, provides an ordered list of candidates for each office for the general election;
- at the general election, each voter casts a vote for the ordered list of candidates of one party; and

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

• the proportion of votes per political party determines the number of representatives elected from each party's list, in the order on the list?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 309-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 27, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-222). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal could have a significant negative fiscal impact on the state and local governmental entities. The amount of the impact is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 310-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 2, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-195). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri's Constitution to make the following changes:

- reduce the number of state representatives from 163 to 80 (10 per congressional district);
- instead of a district, state senators will represent the entire state;
- primary elections for these offices results in an ordered list of candidates for each office;
- at the general election, a voter casts a vote for one political party's ordered list of candidates;

- the proportion of votes received per political party determines the candidates elected from each party's list, in the order on the list; and
- fill vacancies with the highest ranking unelected candidate?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 311-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 2, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-224).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 312-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 2, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-225).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 313-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 2, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-226).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 314-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-196). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2022, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of minimum wage to full minimum wage;
- exempt government employers from the above increases;

- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage; and
- allow any county or city to require employers to pay a minimum wage higher than required by state or federal law?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWL Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 315-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-197). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2022, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of minimum wage to 60% of minimum wage;
- exempt government employers from the above increases;

- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage; and
- allow any county or city to require employers to pay a minimum wage higher than required by state or federal law?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWL Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 316-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-198). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2023, when the state minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of minimum wage to full minimum wage;
- exempt government employers from the above increases;

- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage; and
- allow any county or city to require employers to pay a minimum wage higher than required by state or federal law?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 317-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-199). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2023, when the state minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of minimum wage to 60% of minimum wage;
- exempt government employers from the above increases:

- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage; and
- allow any county or city to require employers to pay a minimum wage higher than required by state or federal law?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 318-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2018-200). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2022, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAW



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 319-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2018-201). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2023, when the state minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 320-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2018-202). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.25 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2024, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2028) from 50% of minimum wage to full minimum wage;
- exempt government employers from the above increases; and

• increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 321-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2018-203). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.50 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2025, when the state minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2024) from 50% of minimum wage to 60% of minimum wage;
- exempt government employers from the above increases; and

• increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 322-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2018-204). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.60 per hour with 85 cents per hour increase each year until 2023, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- exempt government employers from the above increase; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 323-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2018-205). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.60 per hour with 85 cents per hour increase each year until 2023, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

IOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 324-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2018-206). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.60 per hour with 85 cents per hour increase each year until 2023, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 325-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2018-207). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.50 per hour with 70 cents per hour increase each year until 2024, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- exempt government employers from the above increase; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 326-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (2018-208). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.50 per hour with 70 cents per hour increase each year until 2024, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 327-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (2018-209). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.50 per hour with 70 cents per hour increase each year until 2024, when the state minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLI



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 328-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (2018-210). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.50 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2025, when the state minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- exempt government employers from the above increase; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 329-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (2018-211). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.50 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2025, when the state minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 330-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (2018-212). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.50 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2025, when the state minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 331-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 18 (2018-213). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.75 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2022, when the state minimum wage would be \$11.00 per hour;
- exempt government employers from the above increase; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 332-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 19 (2018-214). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.75 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2022, when the state minimum wage would be \$11.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 333-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 20 (2018-215). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$8.75 per hour with 75 cents per hour increase each year until 2022, when the state minimum wage would be \$11.00 per hour; and
- exempt government employers from the above increase?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 334-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Richard Von Glahn regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 21 (2018-216). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2021, when the state minimum wage would be \$11.00 per hour;
- exempt government employers from the above increase; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 335-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Doug Burlison regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-218). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- legally allow people to drive under the influence of marijuana (cannabis);
- remove state prohibitions on the possession, cultivation, and sale of marijuana for personal or medical use regardless of age;
- release all individuals from incarceration, parole and probation if convicted only of nonviolent marijuana related crimes;

- remove state prohibitions on commercial cultivation, manufacture, distribution, and sale of marijuana;
- destroy all state civil and criminal records of nonviolent marijuana related crimes;
- prohibit Missouri assisting enforcement of federal marijuana offenses; and
- prohibit the taxation of physician recommended medical marijuana?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 336-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Wayne Baker regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2018-219). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to prohibit the legislature or any other entity or officer of state government from:

- funding, designing, acquiring, constructing, maintaining, reconstructing, or operating all or part of an existing state road as a toll project; and
- transferring all or part of a non-tolled road to another entity for operation as a toll road?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 337-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Wayne Baker regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2018-220). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- require that all government owned roads currently in existence shall be public and not subject to the payment of any toll for their use; and
- prohibit the highways and transportation commission from transferring all or part of a non-tolled road to another entity as a toll project?

construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 338-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Wayne Baker regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2018-221). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to prohibit the legislature or any other state government entity or official from:

- financing, acquiring, constructing, maintaining, improving, reconstructing, or operating toll roads and toll facilities (facilities, structures, or appurtenances paid for in whole or in part from revenues collected from tolls, fees, rent, or other charges imposed for the use of the facility);
- relocating or incorporating any public road or bridge into a toll road or toll facility; and

 transferring all or part of a public road or bridge to another entity for operation as a toll road or toll facility?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 339-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2018-222). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- prohibit any new state or local sales, use, or transaction-based tax on services and transactions without voter approval;
- prohibit funding sports and entertainment facilities and recreation centers without voter approval;
- prohibit expanding, extending, or modifying current or future bonds and tax credits for funding sports and entertainment facilities and recreation centers without voter approval;

- prohibit unelected government bodies from funding sports and entertainment facilities; and
- prohibit unelected government bodies from bringing a lawsuit regarding these prohibitions?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 25, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 340-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of May 15, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Charles Jones (18-223). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to result in annual state savings of \$11 million. Additional state operating costs resulting from this proposal are estimated at \$700,000 annually. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 1, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 341-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 22, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Charles Jones regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2018-223). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- remove state prohibitions on the possession, growth and sale of cannabis (marijuana) for personal or medical use by anyone 18 years and older;
- remove state prohibitions on marijuana possession by anyone under 18;
- remove state prohibitions on marijuana use by anyone under 18 if the minor has parental or legal guardian consent or a physician's recommendation;

- release people incarcerated for nonviolent marijuana related crimes unless the person has additional time to serve for another dissimilar offense; and
- prohibit using state funds to assist in federal marijuana offense enforcement?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 1, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 342-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of May 22, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-224). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate one-time costs of \$239,000, increased annual costs of \$38,000, and unknown costs during each 2-year election cycle of up to \$900,000 depending on how many registered voters sign petitions electronically and the correspondence method the state uses. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

Attorney General

OP-2017-0291



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 343-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson, version 1 (2018-227).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 344-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-229).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 2, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 345-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Damien Johnson (2018-231).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 346-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 2, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Damien Johnson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2018-224). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- prohibit any changes to election laws without voter approval;
- prohibit a filing fee for proposed initiative petitions;
- prohibit requiring an initiative petition circulator (signature gatherer) from being a Missouri resident or a registered voter;
- allow signature gatherers to be paid on a persignature basis;

- prohibit any requirement that signature gatherers must wear an identification badge; and
- require the secretary of state's office to establish and operate a web-based application that allows people to sign initiative petitions electronically?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 347-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-232).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 22, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 348-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 12, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-233).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 22, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 349-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 16, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-234).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 350-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 13, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-227). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate one-time costs of \$207,000, increased annual operating costs of \$900,000, and an unknown annual change in revenue. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 351-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 15, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Damien Johnson (18-231). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate one-time costs of \$357,000, increased annual operating costs of \$1.1 million, increased annual excise tax revenue of up to \$66 million, and other unknown annual changes in revenue. Local law enforcement costs could increase.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 352-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 23, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Rush Loftis (2018-235).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 353-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (2018-236).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 354-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (2018-237).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 355-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (2018-238).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEX Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 356-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (2018-239).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUAD. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 357-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 10, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean, version 1 (2018-240).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 358-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 10, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean, version 2 (2018-241).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 359-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Rush Loftis (2018-242).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 360-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-243).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 361-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 1 (2018-244).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

TOCHILA THANK E



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 362-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (2018-245).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 363-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Steven Reed (2018-246).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 364-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (2018-247).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 365-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV, Section 54 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Steven Reed (2018-248).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 366-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 17, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Steven Reed (2018-249).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 367-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 18, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-250).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 4, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 368-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-251).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 4, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 369-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City. MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-252).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 370-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 28, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson (2018-253).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attornev General

OP-2017-0322



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 10, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 371-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-254).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 10, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 372-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-255).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours.



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 10, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 373-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 1 (2018-256).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 10, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 374-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 3, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (2018-257).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 375-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 7, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (18-246). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 376-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 7, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (18-248). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal will impose a state sales and use tax of one-tenth of one percent for one year. The additional revenues of approximately \$71.6 million will be used for the promotion and development of Technology Parks in Missouri.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 377-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 7, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (18-249). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



Jefferson City 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 378-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-258).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 379-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 1 (2018-259).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 380-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (2018-260).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 381-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 1 (2018-261).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 382-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (2018-262).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 383-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 7, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charnita Walker (2018-263).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 384-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-264).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 385-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 11, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (2018-265).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 25, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 386-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-246). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to allow Missourians to petition at the public entrances of Missouri Career Centers?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 25, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 387-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV, Section 54 of the Missouri Constitution (2018-248). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to:

- allow the general assembly to change what sales/use taxes are applied to;
- increase the state sales/use tax by one-tenth of one percent for a period of one year to be used solely for the promotion and development of technology parks in Missouri;
- allow cities to apply to the state for certified technology park status; and

 allow counties and cities to place before voters the question of having a technology park in their community?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 25, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 388-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution (2018-249). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri constitution to allow a certified paralegal or legal assistant certified by an accredited college or university who works alongside an attorney for three years to be eligible to take a bar examination, and if the paralegal or legal assistant passes the examination, allow that person to practice law and give legal advice in Missouri, without attending law school or demonstrating good moral character and financial responsibility?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed

as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 25, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 389-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 15, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson (2018-266).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.050.2(3), RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

August 30, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 390-2017

P.O. Box 899

(573) 751-3321

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 22, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-267).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 29, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 391-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 24, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-268).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 392-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 28, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Steven Reed (2018-269).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 393-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 30, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 188, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Rush Loftis (2018-270).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 11, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 394-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 1, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 192, 195, 263 & 311, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lowell Pearson (2018-271).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 11, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 395-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-272).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 396-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.025, RSMo, of a proposed fair ballot language statement for Senate Substitute 2 for Senate Bill 19 relating to an amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-R002). The proposed fair ballot language statement is as follows:

A "yes" vote will adopt Senate Bill 19 ("right-towork"), passed by the general assembly in 2017. If adopted, Senate Bill 19 will amend Missouri law to prohibit, as a condition of employment, forced membership in a labor organization (union) or forced payments of dues or fees, in full or pro-rata ("fair-share"), to a union. Senate Bill 19 will also make any activity which violates employees' rights provided by the bill illegal and ineffective and allow legal remedies for anyone injured as a result of another person violating or threatening to violate those employees' rights. Senate Bill 19 will not apply to union agreements entered into before the effective date of Senate Bill 19, unless those

agreements are amended or renewed after the effective date of Senate Bill 19.

A "no" vote will reject Senate Bill 19 ("right-to-work"), and will result in Senate Bill 19 not becoming Missouri law.

If passed, this measure will have no impact on taxes.

Pursuant to §116.025, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed fair ballot language statement.

Because our review of the fair ballot language statement is mandated by statute, no action we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the joint resolution, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 397-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (2018-274).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 398-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-275).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 399-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-276).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 400-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 11, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-277).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 401-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (18-269). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 29, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 402-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 19, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-278).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWI Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 29, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 403-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 20, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed, version 1 (2018-279).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 29, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 404-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 20, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed, version 2 (2018-280).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 29, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 405-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 20, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Steven Reed (2018-281).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 29, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 406-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 20, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (2018-282).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be 1. deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 29, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 407-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 21, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lowell Pearson (18-271). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government entities estimate initial and one-time costs of \$2.6 million, annual costs of \$10 million, and annual revenues of at least \$10 million. Local government entities estimate no annual costs and are expected to have at least \$152,000 in annual revenues.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 408-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 1 (2018-283).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 5, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 409-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 25, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (2018-284).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 410-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 26, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (18-275). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government entities estimate additional costs in excess of \$2 billion annually. Revenues from the federal government to offset these costs are estimated to be \$1.8 billion annually, subject to changes in federal law. Local government entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 411-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-285).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 412-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 29, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-286).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 413-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 29, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-287).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 6, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 414-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 29, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-288).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 415-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 2, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lowell Pearson regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 192, 195, 263 & 311, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-271). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- remove state prohibitions on personal use and possession of medical cannabis (marijuana) with a written certification by a physician who treats a patient diagnosed with a qualifying medical condition;
- remove state prohibitions on growth, possession, production, and sale of medical marijuana by licensed and regulated facilities, and a facility's licensed owners and employees;
- impose a 2% tax on the retail sale of medical marijuana; and

 use funds from this tax for veterans' services, drug treatment, early childhood education, and for public safety in cities with a medical marijuana facility?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 12, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 416-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 5, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Aron Worthan-Lomax (2018-290).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

IOSHUA D. HAWLE



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 16, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 417-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-275). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- expand benefits under the MO HealthNet Program to any person age 19 to 64, who is not otherwise eligible, but who qualifies for MO HealthNet services under the provisions of the Affordable Care Act of 2010 ("Obamacare"), and who has income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level plus 5% of the applicable family size per federal regulation; and
- for the people covered under the expansion, require the reimbursement rate to MO HealthNet providers to be comparable to commercial reimbursement payment levels?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 16, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 418-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-291).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 419-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 10, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Steven Reed (18-281). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 420-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 10, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-292).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 421-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 11, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-293).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 20, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 422-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 11, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-294).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLI Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 423-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson (2018-295).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 424-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 1 (2018-296).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 425-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (2018-297).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 426-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 3 (2018-298).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 23, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 427-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 4 (2018-299).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 27, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 428-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 19, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Steven Reed regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-281). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to allow Missourians to petition at the public entrances of all state funded operations and Missouri Career Centers?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 31, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 429-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 26, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-300).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 31, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 430-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brian Hagg (2018-301).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 431-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 30, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by DeAndre Morrow (2018-302).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 432-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 2, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas (2018-303).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 433-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 2, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson (18-295). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities estimate no savings from this proposal. State governmental entities estimate one-time costs of up to \$1 million. Local election authorities are estimated to have additional costs ranging from \$6,500 to \$30,000 per election.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 434-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 2, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 1 (18-296). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate initial and onetime costs of approximately \$80,000, annual costs of approximately \$95,000, and annual revenues of approximately \$4.5 billion. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 435-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 2, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 2 (18-297). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate initial and onetime costs of approximately \$80,000, annual costs of approximately \$95,000, and annual revenues of approximately \$9 billion. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General

OP-2017-0398



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 436-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 2, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 3 (18-298). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate initial and onetime costs of approximately \$80,000, annual costs of approximately \$95,000, and annual revenues of approximately \$4.5 billion. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 437-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 2, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Gerald Peterson, version 4 (18-299). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate initial and onetime costs of approximately \$80,000, annual costs of approximately \$95,000, and annual revenues of approximately \$9 billion. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 438-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 115, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2018-295). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- prohibit the division of motor vehicles and its agents from questioning the citizenship of a person applying for a license or registering to vote;
- require the division of motor vehicles to personally deliver to and complete voter registration applications for people if they do not have enough forms on site;
- require electronic transmission of certain voter registration applications to the state's centralized voter registration system; and

• allow a non-photo voter identification card issued by a local election authority to be used to vote as a substitute for photo identification?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 439-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2018-296). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- reinstate the corporate franchise tax;
- set the rate at 1% of a corporation's value of its outstanding shares and surplus (if the value exceeds \$10 million);
- use 50% of the revenues for constructing and maintaining state highways;
- use 37.5% of the revenues for early childhood, elementary and secondary schools with at least a 12% poverty level; and

• use 12.5% of the revenues for the Department of Higher Education, with the first \$48 million to help finance construction of a downtown arts campus for the University of Missouri in Kansas City?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 440-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2018-297). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- reinstate the corporate franchise tax;
- set the rate at 2% of a corporation's value of its outstanding shares and surplus (if the value exceeds \$10 million);
- use 50% of the revenues for constructing and maintaining state highways;
- use 37.5% of the revenues for early childhood, elementary and secondary schools with at least a 12% poverty level; and

• use 12.5% of the revenues for the Department of Higher Education, with the first \$48 million to help finance construction of a downtown arts campus for the University of Missouri in Kansas City?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 441-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2018-298). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- reinstate the corporate franchise tax;
- set the rate at 1% of a corporation's value of its outstanding shares and surplus (if the value exceeds \$10 million);
- use 50% of the revenues for constructing and maintaining state highways;
- use 37.5% of the revenues for **only public** early childhood, elementary and secondary schools with at least a 12% poverty level; and

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

• use 12.5% of the revenues for the Department of Higher Education, with the first \$48 million to help finance construction of a downtown arts campus for the University of Missouri in Kansas City?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 17, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 442-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Gerald Peterson regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 147, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2018-299). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend Missouri law to:

- reinstate the corporate franchise tax;
- set the rate at 2% of a corporation's value of its outstanding shares and surplus (if the value exceeds \$10 million);
- use 50% of the revenues for constructing and maintaining state highways;
- use 37.5% of the revenues for **only public** early childhood, elementary and secondary schools with at least a 12% poverty level; and

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

• use 12.5% of the revenues for the Department of Higher Education, with the first \$48 million to help finance construction of a downtown arts campus for the University of Missouri in Kansas City?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 21, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 443-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 13, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas (2018-304).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 444-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I, Section 29 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 1 (2018-305).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 445-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 2 (2018-306).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 446-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 3 (2018-307).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 447-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 4 (2018-308).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 448-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I, Section 8 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 5 (2018-309).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 449-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I, Section 29 of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 6 (2018-310).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 450-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 27, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Charnita Walker (2018-311).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 11, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 451-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 1, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas (18-304). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 452-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 6, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-312).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWI Attorney General



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 453-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 1 (2018-313).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 454-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 2 (2018-314).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 455-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VI of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas (2018-315).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 15, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 456-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 8, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 3 (2018-316).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 18, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 457-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 12, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 1 (2018-317).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 18, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 458-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 12, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 2 (2018-318).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 18, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 459-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 12, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-319).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 18, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 460-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 12, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-320).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 18, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 461-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 12, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-321).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reason:

1. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reason stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 18, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 462-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 14, 2017, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Patricia Thomas regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2018-304). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Do you want to amend the Missouri Constitution to provide that every employee shall have the freedom to work without being forced to pay any fees to a union (labor organization) or join a union in order to gain or keep a job?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 463-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Terry Briggs, version 1 (2018-322).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 464-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Terry Briggs, version 2 (2018-323).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY Attorney General



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 465-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 142, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Terry Briggs, version 1 (2018-324).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 466-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 142, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Terry Briggs, version 2 (2018-325).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 467-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 142, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Terry Briggs, version 3 (2018-326).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLEY



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 468-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 1 (18-305). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 469-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 2 (18-306). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWL



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 470-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 3 (18-307). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLE



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 471-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 4 (18-308). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 472-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 5 (18-309). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 473-2017

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 18, 2017, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Patricia Thomas, version 6 (18-310). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local government entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLE



65102

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 474-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 19, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Terry Briggs, version 1 (2018-327).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 475-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 19, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Terry Briggs, version 2 (2018-328).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

JOSHUA D. HAWLEY



JOSHUA D. HAWLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2017

OPINION LETTER NO. 476-2017

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Ashcroft:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2017, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2018-329).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine whether additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of

The Honorable John R. Ashcroft Page 2

State is authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

OSHUA D. HAWLI